





APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/301,284	04/28/1999	SHUICHI TAKAYAMA	NAK1-BG86	5392
759	90 10/23/2002			
PRICE GESS & UBELL			EXAMINER	
2100 S E MAIN SUITE 250			VO, TED T	
IRVINE, CA 9	1 92014		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBÉR
			2122	11.
			DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002	l >

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

per

		- JM			
·	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/301,284	TAKAYAMA ET AL.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ted T. Vo	2122			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 25 September 2002 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of t '1) a timely filed amend	his application. A proper reply to a ment which places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RI	EPLY [check either a) o	r b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 705.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The draw been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortene (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ivisory Action, or (2) the date so han SIX MONTHS from the mass FILED WITHIN TWO MONT ate on which the petition under a sion and the corresponding ard statutory period for reply original statutory period for re	ailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee mount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under inally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	's Brief must be filed wi FR 1.191(d)), to avoid d	thin the period set forth in ismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:				
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c)	in better form for appear	al by materially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submit	ted in a separate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	or reconsideration has b	een considered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	nt(s) a)⊠ will not be ent vould be rejected is prov	ered or b) will be entered and an vided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	•				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b)[disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment adds new claim limitation to claim 1, "the position of which does not correspond to a byte boundary", would cause further consideration. This new limitation, which is the Negative Limitation that tends to define the invention in terms of what it was not rather than pointing out the invention, is not deemed to place the application in the better form. The new limitation added in the claim 10 and the new claim 49 would cause further consideration or search...

GORY MORSE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100